

WEST VIRGINIA LAW REVIEW: PUBLICATION ETHICS AND PUBLICATION MALPRACTICE STATEMENT

EDITORS' RESPONSIBILITIES

Publication Decisions

The Editor in Chief is responsible for deciding which of the manuscripts submitted to the law review will be published after consultation with and receiving recommendations from the Executive Article Selection Editors. The Executive Article Selection Editors will evaluate manuscripts without regard to the authors' race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy. The publication decision will be based on the manuscript's importance, relevance, novelty, clarity, and strength of the argument presented. Current legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement, and plagiarism will also be considered.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted paper will not be used by the Editor in Chief or the members of the Law Review for their own research purposes without the author's explicit written consent. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions associated with the manuscript.

Confidentiality

The Editor in Chief and Law Review staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate. Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be disclosed to or discussed with others except as authorized by the Editor in Chief.

Standards of Objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. The Executive Article Selection Editors should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgement of Sources

Reviewers should identify instances in which relevant published work referred to in the manuscript has not been appropriately cited. Reviewers will notify the Editor in Chief of any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published work of which they have personal knowledge.

AUTHORS' DUTIES

Data Access and Retention

Authors may be asked to provide the sources of the manuscript together with the paper for editorial review. In any event, authors should ensure accessibility of such data to other competent professionals for at least ten years after publication (preferably via an institutional or subject-based data repository or other data center), provided that the confidentiality of the participants

can be protected and legal rights concerning proprietary data do not preclude their release. Information can be provided to the Law Review, as well, who can assist in ensuring access to such data.

Originality, Plagiarism and Acknowledgement of Sources

Authors will submit only entirely original works, and will appropriately cite or quote the work and/or words of others. Publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work should also be cited.

Authorship of the Paper

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, or execution of the manuscript. The corresponding author ensures that all contributing co-authors and no uninvolved persons are included in the author list. The corresponding author will also verify that all co-authors have approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Fundamental Errors in Published Works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and to cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper in form of an erratum.

References

Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). (2011, March 7). Code of Conduct and Best-Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors. Last Updated: June 10, 2016.

West Virginia Law Review, *Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement*. Last Updated: July 1, 2016.